

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : D06N 7/00, A47G 27/04, D06M 15/277	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 98/12375 (43) International Publication Date: 26 March 1998 (26.03.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US96/14935 (22) International Filing Date: 18 September 1996 (18.09.96) (71) Applicant: E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY [US/US]; 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898 (US). (72) Inventors: MURPHY, Peter, Michael; 5024 Branston Road, Ooltewah, TN 37363-8477 (US). GREENWOOD, Edward, James; 23 Raphael Road, Hockessin, DE 19707-2209 (US). MATERNIAK, Joyce, Monson; 117 Cheltenham Road, Hockessin, DE 19707-1809 (US). (74) Agent: MAYER, Nancy, S.; E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Legal Patent Records Center, 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898 (US).		(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report.</i>
(54) Title: RUG AND CARPET UNDERLAYS SUBSTANTIALLY IMPERVIOUS TO LIQUIDS (57) Abstract A carpet underlay comprising a fibrous nonwoven substrate composed of natural or synthetic fibers having in or on it a repellent finish which makes said substrate substantially impervious to liquids, and a carpet having an underlay substantially impervious to liquids affixed to the carpet back rendering said carpet substantially impervious to liquids are disclosed.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NK	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

TITLE

RUG AND CARPET UNDERLAYS SUBSTANTIALLY
IMPERVIOUS TO LIQUIDS

5

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This is a Continuation-in-Part of Application
08/424,754 filed April 18, 1995.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

10

This invention relates to novel rug and carpet
underlays that are substantially impervious to liquids.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Area rugs, for instance Oriental rugs, are
15 commonly laid over wall-to-wall carpeting or other
carpets, or over decorative wood flooring, to achieve
desired esthetic effects. When such rugs are laid over
carpets the rug may tend to slip or move in one direction
due to the alternating compression and release of the
20 fibers in the underlying carpet caused by normal traffic.
Thus the rug and furnishings placed on it move from their
desired position. Additionally the rug may become
creased or wrinkled instead of flat. Such rugs may also
slip on the polished surfaces of a decorative wood floor.
25 Such movements detract from the esthetics of the room and
may cause slipping and tripping risks.

Rug underlays have been proposed as a solution
to such problems, for instance the rug underlays
disclosed by Mussallem in U.S. Patent. 4,504,538 and U.S.
30 Patent. 4,985,279. The underlay typically consists of a
light-weight nonwoven mat, comprised of filamentary

fibers, needle-punched into a coarse thin mat. Needle-punching is commonly used to compress fibrous mats into a felt-like material. Mussallem discloses that any natural or synthetic fiber may be used in the underlay, but that
5 synthetic fibers such as polyolefin, nylon, polyester, acrylic polymers, etc., are preferred. Needle-punching can also be used to embed a woven mesh in such a nonwoven mat to strengthen and stiffen the mat. The needle-punched mat is then treated with an emulsion adhesive,
10 such as a synthetic rubber latex, on at least one side and preferably both sides. The adhesive is then cured. The adhesive properties of the underlay effectively secures it to the underlying rug or underlying surface and prevents movement. The underlay adhesive coating is
15 selected to provide both the necessary adhesive strength to prevent slippage or movement and a sufficiently low peel strength so that the rug may be easily and reversibly removed from the underlying surface for cleaning or other purposes.

20 If water-based or oil-based liquids are spilled on a rug or carpet placed over a carpet or decorative wood floor, the underlying material may become stained and deteriorate. Water-based spills may also transfer dyes from the rug to the underlying material. Other
25 water-based spills may contain colored materials, e.g., colored drinks or coffee, or contain other undesirable components which can soak through to the underlying material. Oil-based liquids, e.g., mineral oil, baby oil, kerosene, or alcohol, may penetrate to the
30 underlying material with potential undesirable effects. Cleaning aids applied to the rug may similarly pass through the rug and adversely affect the underlying

material. Oil-in-water emulsions, e.g., milk or lotions, and water-in-oil emulsions, e.g., dairy spreads, are examples of spills requiring a barrier substantially and simultaneously impervious to both water-based and oil-based spills.

Broadloom carpeting, typically used for fitted or wall-to-wall carpeting, may be laid over substrates where the appearance of the substrate is to be preserved. Broadloom carpeting is typically constructed with a primary backing to which the carpet tufts are attached by means of a latex adhesive. Such carpeting with a latex adhesive and single backing is termed "unitary" carpeting. Most broadloom carpeting also has a secondary backing of an open weave fabric (weave spacing about 0.6 - 0.9 cm (0.25 - 0.375 inches), impregnated with the latex, which provides dimensional stability and a finished appearance to the back of the carpet. While the open weave of the secondary backing fabric itself cannot be made impervious to liquids, U.S. Patent 5,348,785 discloses a method to render the latex-impregnated secondary backing of a carpet substantially impervious to liquids by treatment with repellent compositions, such as fluorochemicals, silicone-based compositions, oils, waxes, and/or hydrophobic acrylate resins. Typically area and Oriental rugs are not treated by such processes and are therefore permeable to liquids

Similarly, carpets used for fitted or wall-to-wall carpeting may be laid over substrates where the appearance of the substrate is to be preserved. While such carpets are not prone to the movement or slipping hazards of rugs, staining of the substrate can result from spills. Such staining is particularly insidious

since detection and prevention of the staining following a spill is typically impractical with large or fitted carpets. A spill on broadloom carpeting often puddles on the padding or subflooring where it can not be removed by
5 cleaning. This spill then accelerates the growth of mold, mildew and odors. By allowing spills to be more thoroughly cleaned, a water impermeable backing reduces the growth of mold and mildews which cause odors.

The prior art also describes water-impermeable
10 carpeting constructed using impervious backings such as those based on poly(vinyl chloride) and polyurethane. However, such backings are expensive and create manufacturing difficulties

It is desirable that a treatment be applied to
15 the underlay which would create a substantially impervious barrier to liquids between the rug or carpet and the underlying material. Such a treatment must not interfere with the adhesive surfaces of the underlay, and ideally would be readily incorporated into the
20 manufacturing process for the underlay. It is also desirable to have a carpet backing which is substantially impervious to liquids. The present invention provides such an underlay and carpet having such a backing.

25 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to rug or carpet underlays which are substantially impervious to liquids, such as oil and water, and methods of preparing the same. The underlays are also oil-repellent and water-repellent.

30 The present invention comprises a tufted pile carpet comprising: a) a primary backing having a surface tufted with pile yarns and an underside to which a water

5

permeable latex has been applied; b) an optional water permeable secondary backing having a surface and an underside, wherein the surface of the secondary backing is adhered to the underside of the primary backing; and
5 c) an underlay having a surface and an underside, wherein the surface of said underlay is affixed with an adhesive to the underside of either the secondary backing or the primary backing, and said underlay comprises a nonlatex fabric, said fabric being substantially
10 impervious to liquids, wherein said carpet is substantially impervious to liquids.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

This invention provides underlays and carpet
15 backing with one or more adhesive surfaces having repellent finishes, whereby the underlays and backing are substantially impervious to oil-based and/or water-based liquids. The process of this invention for making the underlay or backing liquid impervious is readily
20 incorporated into the manufacturing processes for underlays.

The term "repellent finish", as used herein, means a composition containing oil- and/or water-repellent chemicals dispersed, dissolved, or suspended in
25 a solvent such as water or alcohol which will form a film-like barrier on or in the underlay to render the same substantially impervious to liquids. The repellent finish optionally contains surfactants, foaming agents, and other additives. In addition the repellent finish
30 can contain a mixture of different repellents. Suitable repellents for use in the repellent finish are selected from the group consisting of fluorochemicals, silicone compositions, wax emulsions, naturally-occurring oils,

6

hydrophobic alkylacrylate resins, and hydrophobic alkylmethacrylates resins.

By the term "liquids" is meant oil-based and/or water-based liquids.

5 By the phrase "substantially impervious to oil-based liquids" is meant that, in addition to being substantially impervious to water, non-aqueous liquids (e.g., mineral oil or alcohol) will not substantially penetrate through the underlay in accordance with the
10 Test Method 1 described below.

By the phrase "substantially impervious to water" is meant that water or aqueous solutions or aqueous suspensions (e.g., coffee, wine, soda, fruit juices, or urine) will not substantially penetrate
15 through the underlay in accordance with the Test Method 2 described below.

By the term "substantially impervious to liquids" is meant that 20 ml of liquid poured on the underlay from a height of 6 cm makes no wet spot after 30
20 minutes, or a wet spot having a diameter of no more than 2.54 cm, on a paper towel located directly beneath the location of the underlay on which the liquid has been poured.

By the term "water permeable" is meant that
25 when the above test is performed a wet spot having a diameter of greater than 2.54 cm is obtained.

Generally the mat for the underlay of this invention is prepared by conventional techniques. The underlay typically consists of a light-weight nonwoven
30 mat, comprised of filamentary fibers, and is needle-punched into a coarse thin mat. Any natural or synthetic fiber is used to make the underlay, but fibers such as

polyolefin, nylon, polyester, wool, cotton, jute, acrylic
polymers, and the like are suitable. The fibers are
woven, nonwoven, knitted or stitch-bonded. Optionally a
woven mesh is incorporated into the mat. Such methods
5 and materials are well known to those skilled in the art.
While the underlay mat has been described with respect to
certain embodiments, many modifications and changes may
be made by those skilled in the art. Similarly many
methods for the continuous production of underlay and the
10 coating of the underlay are well known to those skilled
in the art. It is intended that all such modifications
and production methods are included in the meaning of the
term "underlay mat" as used herein.

The underlay of this invention is sufficiently
15 substantial and underlays of less than about 40 to 50g/m²
typically will provide repellency but may not be
impervious to oil and water. The underlay of this
invention may be described as a "tertiary" backing when
affixed to a carpet, and is applied to unitary carpeting
20 and carpeting with a secondary backing. The underlays of
this invention are applied to carpets and rugs that do
not already have a water-impermeable backing such as the
poly(vinyl chloride) and polyurethane backings described
above. Typically the underlays of this invention are
25 applied to carpets having a latex coated primary backing
such as styrene-butadiene rubber based latex (SBR) or
ethylene vinyl acetate based latex (EVA), and where the
unitary carpet or secondary backing has not been treated
with oil or water repellant finishes.

30 The underlays of this invention are useful as a
separate underlay under an area rug or affixed to the

backing of a carpet to provide imperviousness to liquids, and provide several advantages over the prior art. The underlays provide a more complete, durable, and permanent repellency and imperviousness to liquids since the

5 fluorocarbon treatment has more affinity for the underlay material than for the latex-treated primary or secondary backing, where incompatibilities between the fluorocarbon treatment agent and the latex can occur. Thus the uniformity of application eliminates untreated areas

10 through which spills can pass. The underlay of this invention is applied separately from the carpet manufacture, eliminating a production holdup for an extra drying step and enabling the underlay to be applied to desired areas of carpet, rather than throughout a

15 manufacturing run. The drying of carpet is typically the production-limiting step. The underlay of this invention can be applied independantly of the carpet production, for instance, at the installation site. Furthermore, the applied underlay improves the cushioning of the carpet,

20 contributing to reduced wear and improved feel and esthetics.

Suitable fluorochemicals for use herein include, but are not limited to, polymers or other compounds with molecular weight greater than 500 having

25 pendent or terminal groups of perfluoroalkyl moieties. Examples of some suitable fluorochemicals include: polymers and copolymers of vinylidene fluoride, tetrafluoroethylene, perfluoroalkylethyl acrylates, perfluoroalkylethyl methacrylates, mixtures of the same;

30 blends of the foregoing polymers and copolymers with polymers and copolymers of alkyl acrylates and alkylmethacrylates, copolymers of vinylidene chloride,

vinylidene fluoride, tetrafluoroethylene, perfluoroalkylethyl acrylates and perfluoroalkylethyl methacrylates and wax emulsions.

Many commercially available fluorochemicals are used both as repellent finishes and as effective oil-repellents and water-repellents. These include for example, commercially available proprietary products sold under the tradenames of "TEFLON" and "ZONYL" from E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, DE; "MILEASE" from ICI, Wilmington, DE; "ASAHIGARD" from Asahi Glass, Plymouth, MI; "SCOTCHGARD" from 3M, Minneapolis, MN; "SOFTECH" from Dyetech, Dalton, GA; "TEX-TEL" from Atochem, Philadelphia, PA; and "NK GUARD" from Nicca, Fountain, SC which are suitable for use herein. Suitable commercially available silicone-based repellents include, but are not limited to, C2-0563 from Dow Corning, Midland, MI. Dow Corning C2-0563 is a silicone repellent mixture of polydialkylsiloxanes. Suitable commercially available wax emulsions include, but are not limited to those sold under the trademark "NALAN" from DuPont, and "OCTOWAX" 312 from Tiarco Chemical Co, Dalton, GA. Suitable hydrophobic acrylate resins include water-repellent polymers and copolymers of acrylic acid esters and methacrylic acid esters such as the methyl, but preferably ethyl and butyl, esters. Mixtures of these polymers and copolymers are also effective. One example of a commercially available resin is "Acrylic Matte Medium" from Golden Artist Colors, Hamilton, NY.

Certain repellent finishes as used in this invention provide an underlay that is substantially impervious to water-based spills. Other repellent finishes provide an underlay that is substantially

10

impervious to liquid spills, including both oil-based and water-based spills. Thus the repellent finish is selected based on the desired type of imperviousness. Since the repellent finishes for water are typically less
5 expensive than those for liquids, selecting a repellent finish that provides an underlay substantially impervious only to water based spills can be preferred for certain environments.

Preferred fluorochemical repellent finishes
10 include the following aqueous dispersions: a polyfluoro organic compound prepared by reacting a polyisocyanate with a fluoroalcohol and water disclosed in EP A453641 (Repellent A of the Examples herein); a blend of a fluoroalkyl citrate- urethane and polymethylmethacrylate
15 disclosed in U.S. Patent 3,923,715 (Repellent B of the Examples herein); a fluorocarbonylimino biuret obtained by reacting polyisocyanate with a fluoroalcohol and monochlorohydrin disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,958,039 (Repellent C of the Examples herein); a blend of a
20 fluoroalkyl citrate urethane, a fluoroalkyl methacrylate/2-ethylhexyl methacrylate/2- hydroxyethyl meth-acrylate/N-methylolacrylamide copolymer, a fluoroalkyl methacrylate/lauryl methacrylate/N-methylolacrylamide copolymer, a dimethylaminoethyl
25 methacrylate/acrylic acid copolymer, and a chloroprene/dichlorobutadiene copolymer disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,595,518 (Repellent D of the Examples herein); copolymers of an alkyl (meth)acrylate/fluoro-alkyl (meth)acrylate/vinylidene chloride disclosed in U.S.
30 Patent 5,344,903; and FC-1355 and FC-1367 (both anionic emulsions of fluoroaliphatic polymers, from 3M Company, Minneapolis, MN).

11

Fluorochemical repellent finishes containing solvents, exemplified by an aqueous dispersion of a copolymer disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,742,140 comprising an alkyl acrylate or methacrylate, vinylidene chloride, and a mixture of fluoroalkyl alkyl methacrylates or acrylates (containing some acetone) are also effective in this application, but less preferred due to concerns over flammability hazards and the disposal of organic solvents. The preferred repellent finishes either contain no volatile organic solvents, or no more than about 1%.

Preferred mixed fluorochemical and hydrocarbon repellent finishes include a blend of wax, a diethylaminoethyl methacrylate/hexadecyl methacrylate/octadecyl methacrylate copolymer of the type disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,595,518 and a fluoroalkyl acrylate/hexadecyl methacrylate/octadecyl methacrylate/vinylidene chloride copolymer disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,742,140 (Repellent E of the Examples herein); a blend of wax, a diethylaminoethyl methacrylate/hexadecyl methacrylate/octadecyl methacrylate copolymer and a fluoroalkyl methacrylate/dodecyl methacrylate copolymer of the type disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,595,518 (Repellent F of the Examples herein).

Preferred hydrocarbon water-repellent finishes include an aqueous dispersion of: a hydrocarbon wax and a behenic acid ester of melamine (Repellent G of the Examples herein); a hydrocarbon wax stearyl methacrylate/diethylaminoethyl methacrylate copolymer (Repellent H of the Examples herein); and "OCTOWAX" 321 (an aqueous

paraffin wax emulsion, from Tiarco Chemical Co., Dalton, GA).

Results obtained using the preferred repellent finishes are described in the Examples. Suitable commercial repellent finishes after application, drying, and curing do not stain or transfer to the underlying substrate (e.g. the carpet or the floor).

Repellent finishes typically have an organic segment of the molecule that binds to the fiber. Those which show oil repellency may also have a fluorocarbon segment. The repellent finishes are selected in part based on the fiber composition. Typical mat fibers can range from relatively hydrophilic fibers such as nylon, intermediate fibers such as polyester, to relatively hydrophobic fibers such as polyolefins. The techniques for matching repellent finishes with fiber composition are well known in the art. Typically the repellent finish is diluted with water or a suitable solvent such as alcohol for application to the mat, with water being preferred. The necessary dilution is determined by the wet pick-up and the required concentration of active ingredient in the dried and cured mat. The wet pick-up is the amount of repellent finish in the wet mat after application but before drying or curing. The wet pick-up is expressed as a percentage based on the dry fiber. For instance, if a repellent finished mat is to contain 1.5% of the active ingredient and the wet pick-up is 200%, the repellent finish as applied should contain 0.75% active ingredient ($100 \times 0.015/2$).

The amount of repellent finish, together with the necessary diluent such as water or alcohol that is applied to the underlay, is measured as wet pick-up prior

13

to drying and curing. The wet pick-up applied to the rug underlay fabric will generally be in the range of 20 to 300 % by weight, and preferably 50 to 200 % by weight, based on the untreated underlay fabric. Typically, 5 commercially available repellent finishes contain about 0.5 to about 40% by weight total active ingredient. In the case of silicones, the total active ingredient may be greater than 40% by weight. In this invention, the amount of active ingredient of repellent finish applied will 10 generally be in the range of about 0.01 to 10% by weight, and preferably 0.05 to 3% by weight, of the active ingredient in the repellent finish based on the underlay mat.

However, it is understood that the amount of 15 repellent finish and active ingredient applied will be adjusted depending on the type and concentration of the repellent, the underlay mat construction and weight, the type of fiber or fibers in the underlay mat, and the type of adhesive application. In any application, it is 20 important that a sufficient amount of repellent finish be uniformly applied to the underlay mat such that the repellent finished underlay is substantially impervious to water or to liquids, according to Test Methods 1 and 2 described below.

25 The repellent finish is applied to the underlay mat by various means including foam, spray, dipping, or padding processes, followed by a heat treatment to dry or cure the repellent finish, typically in an oven. The drying temperature, drying temperature profile, and 30 drying time are selected, based on the thermal stability of the fabric and the drying and curing properties of the repellent finish, to be sufficient to accomplish the

14

necessary drying and curing. Control of such drying parameters are well known to those skilled in the art.

It is necessary to ensure that the repellent finish be completely and uniformly applied to the

5 underlay mat, and completely and uniformly dried and cured. Padding, in which the underlay mat is dipped in a bath and the excess repellent finish squeezed off, typically gives excellent and uniform application and is thus the preferred application method. Foam and spray

10 applications, on the other hand, can allow starved or missed areas unless the foam or spray is very carefully applied. Even very small undertreated areas will impair the imperviousness desired. To ensure foam and spray applications are complete, it may be necessary to apply

15 the repellent finish with greater wet pick-up than would be necessary for padding. However, this can require the spray or foam repellent finish to be diluted with extra water compared with the padding process, thus requiring extra drying. For foam and spray applications, a wetting

20 agent may be added to the repellent finish to assist in the complete and uniform application. Suitable wetting agents are exemplified by, but not limited to, "ALKANOL" 6112 (poly(oxyethylene sorbitan monooleate in water/1-decanol), from E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company,

25 Wilmington, DE). Wetting agents were not necessary in the preferred pad application.

The undelay mat, now substantially impervious to water or liquids, is then coated with adhesives. For rug underlays the mat is coated on one, and preferably

30 both, sides with a suitable adhesive, the adhesive is cured or dried, and one or both surfaces of the repellent finished underlay is protected by an easily released

15

sheet of material, e.g., plastic, paper, or other inexpensive material, to prevent adhesion of the underlay to itself or other surfaces during handling, storage, transportation, and appropriately packaged. The adhesives suitable for underlays, the methods of adhesive application to underlays, and the packaging of underlays are well known to those skilled in the art. While the application of adhesive and packaging of the underlay have been described with respect to certain embodiments, many modifications and changes can be made by those skilled in the art. It is intended that all such modifications are included in the meaning of the term "repellent finished underlay mat" as used herein.

For carpet underlays, the mat is coated on one side with an adhesive. The preferred adhesives for laminating the water impermeable underlay to the underside or backing of wall-to-wall carpeting will (1) cure at ambient temperatures, (2) adhere the carpet underside to the impermeable backing immediately upon contact, (3) remain adhered to carpet underside and impermeable backing even when wet by liquids, and (4) provide a lamination strength of at least about 8.9 kg/m (0.5 lb/in), preferably at least about 17.9 kg/m (1.0 lb/in). Examples of effective adhesives are "3M Super 77 Spray Adhesive", manufactured by 3M Industrial Tape and Specialty Division, St. Paul, MN. Additional examples of effective adhesives include "Elmer's Spray Adhesive", Distributed by Borden Inc., Department HPPG Elmer's, Columbus, OH and "Liquid Nails Adhesive for Projects and Construction", Macco Adhesives, The Glidden Company, Cleveland, OH. Many other adhesives with these

characteristics are well known in the art and are suitable for use herein.

The underlays of this invention preferably utilize fabric with loft or height characteristics dependent on the desired use. Fabric loft or height is important for a rug underlay between an area rug and wall-to-wall carpeting. The fabric height of between about 2 mm and 5 mm allows complete contact with each surface and maximizes the non-slip aspects of the rug underlay. A rug underlay fabric is also appropriate as a water impermeable backing on wall-to-wall carpeting. However, a fabric that does not contain about at least 2 mm of loft can nevertheless be an effective water impermeable backing on wall-to-wall carpeting. The adhesive insures that the water impermeable backing and the wall-to-wall carpeting are in complete contact. For ease of installation, the water impermeable backing does not provide a non-slip feature in wall-to-wall carpeting. So, a fabric with less than about 2 mm in height or loft is an effective water impermeable backing for wall-to-wall carpeting. To achieve a lofted fabric with between about 2 mm and 5 mm of height, a needlepunched nonwoven fabric is required. For water impermeable backings attached to wall-to-wall carpeting, woven, nonwoven, knitted, stitch-bonded or other fabrics are suitable such as fabrics made from polyethylene, polypropylene, polyolefin, acrylic fibers, polyester, polytrimethylene terephthalate, nylon, wool, cotton, jute, thermoplastic polymer films, mixtures of the same, or mixtures thereof with cellulosics. Preferred for many applications are nonwovens such as "TYVEK" and "SONTARA", each available from E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Wilmington, DE,

17

and "TYPAR" available from Norville Industrials, Dalton, GA. "TYVEK" is a flash spun nonwoven fabric of polyethylene, "TYPAR" is a spun bonded nonwoven fabric of polypropylene, and "SONTARA" is a spunlaced nonwoven
5 fabric of polyester/cellulosic.

In carpet applications, the underlays of this invention are laminated permanently to the carpeting. Suitable carpets include those wherein the pile yarns of the carpet are polyamide, polyester, polypropylene, wool,
10 cotton, acrylic, polytrimethylene terephthalate, or mixtures thereof and other fibrous materials. For some water impermeable fabrics, merely adhering the fabric to the underside of the wall-to-wall carpeting results in a carpet with attached water impermeable backing. Some
15 fabrics must be first treated with a water repellent and then adhered to the underside of the wall-to-wall carpeting. The methods for treating the fabric with an appropriate water repellent and curing the fabric are described for rug underlays, above. The preferred
20 methods for adhering the fabric, whether inherently water impermeable or treated with water repellents to become water impermeable, to the wall-to-wall carpeting are either (1) applying an adhesive to the fabric in an operation separate from laminating the fabric to the
25 underside of the wall-to-wall carpeting or (2) applying an adhesive to the fabric and/or the underside of the wall-to-wall carpeting concurrent with laminating the fabric to the underside of the wall-to-wall carpeting. Adhesives are applied to only one side of the fabric by
30 spray, kiss-roll, or other methods known to those skilled in the art. The underlay is affixed to the primary backing of the carpet having a fabric surface tufted with

18

pile yarns to which a latex has been applied on the underside, or to a carpet having both a primary backing and a secondary backing. The secondary backing is typically a fabric of polyester, polypropylene, cotton, 5 jute, felt, or a thermoplastic polymer film. The preferred locations for laminating the underlay to the underside of the primary or secondary backing of the wall-to-wall carpeting are either (1) after the latexed and finished carpet has cooled but prior to roll-up or 10 (2) during the cut-order operation when a large roll of carpet is being cut into smaller rolls to meet customer orders. The water impermeable fabric is laminated to the underside of the wall-to-wall carpet backing either manually or automatically, without the water impermeable 15 fabric being torn, punctured, or worn to compromise its water impermeability

In another embodiment of this invention, the repellent finish is applied to the underlay mat after the adhesive has been applied and dried or cured. In this 20 embodiment, the repellent finish is chosen so as to not diminish the adhesive properties of the repellent finished underlay. In a third embodiment of this invention, the repellent finish is mixed with the adhesive and applied and dried or cured in a single 25 operation. The repellent finish and adhesive are chosen so as to be mutually compatible and so that the repellent treatment does not diminish the adhesive properties of the repellent finished underlay. In a fourth embodiment of this invention, the repellent finish and then the 30 adhesive, or the adhesive and then the repellent finish, is applied, followed by a single heat treatment to dry and cure both applications.

Although the repellent finishes used in this invention are similar to those used for making a carpet backing substantially impervious to liquids there are significant differences in the application of this technology to underlays. Compared to carpet, an underlay is a thinner, nonwoven fabric, without the tufted fibers or the latex binder that secures the tufted fibers in the backing. Consequently, the underlay has much less capacity to absorb liquid spills and to lower the hydrostatic pressure exerted by a liquid spill. These differences require that the repellent finish be very uniformly applied. For this reason the pad method of application, in which the underlay is immersed in a bath containing the repellent finish at the desired concentration and then squeezed to reduce the wet pick-up to the necessary level, is preferred over spray or foam applications. Spray and foam application are suitable if carefully controlled, so as to not leave small areas in which the amount of repellent finish active ingredient is inadequate to provide the imperviousness desired.

A second important difference between carpets and underlays is that the repellent finish is applied to underlays either before or after the application of the adhesive. In the case of carpets, the binding latex is applied to the primary backing before the repellent finish, else the imperviousness of the product is reduced. The binding latex must be worked into the back of the carpet, for instance by a roller, to secure the tufts. A possible explanation of this difference is that working the latex into the carpet after it has been treated with a repellent finish in this manner creates

20

channels for liquids to pass through, thus the imperviousness is impaired.

Test Methods 1 and 2 determine the oil and water imperviousness of the underlay mats, while Test Method 3 and 4 determine oil and water repellency of the underlay mat, both after the repellent finish has been applied, dried, and cured. The oil repellency rating from Test Method 3 correlates strongly with the desired property of being substantially impervious to liquids as determined by Test Method 1. Similarly, the water repellency rating from Test Method 4 correlates strongly with the desired property of being substantially impervious to water, determined by Test Method 2. The repellency Test Methods 3 and 4 may be easier to use on certain samples. Test Methods 1 and 2 are used for the repellent finished underlay.

The present invention is further illustrated by the Test Methods and Examples below.

TEST METHODS

20 Test Method 1. Oil Imperviousness

The underlay sample is placed with an adhesive side down on a white absorbent paper towel. 20 ml of S.A.E. 10W30 Motor Oil, adjusted to room temperature of 24°C +/- 3°C (75°F +/- 5°F) is poured onto the underlay sample through a cylinder of about 4 cm diameter and from a height of about 6 cm to create a circular puddle. The cylinder is removed and the sample is not disturbed for 30 minutes. The underlay is removed and the diameter of any oil spot on the towel is measured. The sample is termed as substantially impervious to oil if none or a very slight amount of oil has passed through the underlay sample. The diameter on the paper towel of any oil spot

that has passed through the underlay is measured. An oil spot diameter of 2.54 cm (one inch) or less is required for the underlay to be substantially impervious to oil.

5 Test Method 2. Water Imperviousness

The underlay sample is placed with an adhesive side down on a white absorbent paper towel. 20 ml of water, adjusted to room temperature of 24°C +/- 3°C (75°F +/- 5°F) is poured onto the underlay sample through a
10 cylinder of about 4 cm diameter and from a height of about 6 cm to create a circular puddle. The cylinder is removed and the sample is not disturbed for 30 minutes. The underlay is removed and the diameter of any water spot on the towel is measured. The sample is termed as
15 substantially impervious to water if none or a very slight amount of water has passed through the underlay sample. The diameter on the paper towel of any water spot that has passed through the underlay is measured. A
20 wet spot diameter of 2.54 cm (one inch) or less is required for the underlay to be substantially impervious to water.

Test Method 3. Oil Repellency

AATCC Test Method No. 118-1989

After proper conditioning, the underlay
25 specimen is placed on a flat level surface with an adhesive side down. Three drops of the selected oil or oil mixture are placed on the fabric and left for 30 seconds. If no penetration has occurred, the fabric is judged to "pass" this level of repellency and the next
30 higher numbered oil mixture is tested. The fabric rating is the highest numbered oil mixture that does not wet or penetrate the fabric.

22

The test oil compositions are:

AATCC Oil Repellency

	Rating Number	Oil Composition
	1	Nujol
5	2	65:35::Nujol:n-hexadecane
	3	n-hexadecane
	4	n-tetradecane
	5	n-dodecane
	6	n-decane

10 A rating of 0 indicates no oil repellency; a rating of 3 or higher is desired.

Test Method 4. Water Repellency

DuPont "TEFLON" Standard Test Method No. 311.56

15 After proper conditioning, the underlay specimen is placed on a flat level surface with an adhesive side down. Three drops of the selected water/isopropanol mixture are placed on the fabric and left for 10 seconds. If no penetration has occurred, the
20 fabric is judged to "pass" this level of repellency and the next higher numbered test liquid is tested. The fabric rating is the highest numbered test liquid that does not wet the fabric.

The water/isopropanol mixtures have the
25 following compositions:

	DuPont Water Repellency Rating Number	Composition (wt %)	
		Water	Isopropanol
30	1	98	2
	2	95	5
	3	90	10
	4	80	20
	5	70	30
35	6	60	40

A rating of 0 indicates no water repellency, a rating of 6 indicates maximum water repellency. A test rating of 3 or higher is desired.

5

Control - Underlays Without a Repellent finish
A needle-punched, nonwoven polyester fabric

(approximately 0.14 kg/m², 4 oz./square yard) was sprayed with Air Products Pressure Sensitive Adhesive #625 on both the top and bottom face to a total wet pick-up of 20% by weight of adhesive solution. The treated fabric was dried at 132°C (270°F) for 3 min. resulting in a repellent finished underlay containing 10% by weight of adhesive active ingredient. The following test results were obtained using the Test Methods described above on the fabric before and after the application of the adhesive. The results for Test Methods 1 and 2 are listed in cm.

Table 1

20 Tests on Control Underlays Without Repellent finish.

		<u>Imperviousness</u> <u>(spot diameter, cm)</u>		<u>Repellency Rating</u>	
		Oil (by Test <u>Method 1</u>)	Water (by Test <u>Method 2</u>)	Oil (by Test <u>Method 3</u>)	Water (by Test <u>Method 4</u>)
25	Underlay <u>Control 1</u> Before Adhesive Appln.	15 or more	25 or more	0	0
30	After Adhesive Appln.	15 or more	25 or more	0	0

35 The control underlays failed all tests and are not substantially impervious to either water or oil.

Examples 1 to 12

Preparation of an Underlay Sequentially Treated
With a Repellent finish and Then an Adhesive, and Tests
5 for Oil and Water Permeability.

A needle-punched, nonwoven polyester fabric
(approximately 0.14 kg/m², 4 oz./square yard) was
saturated with the repellent solution indicated in Table
2 and the liquid was reduced by squeezing to 200% by
10 weight of the fabric. The treated fabric was dried at
82°C(180°F) for 10 min. and cured at 149°C (300°F) for 3
min. resulting in a fabric with the content of repellent
active ingredient indicated in Table 2. The fabric was
then sprayed with Air Products Pressure Sensitive
15 Adhesive #625 (Air Products, Allentown, PA) on both the
top and bottom face to a total wet pick-up of 20% by
weight of solution. The treated fabric was dried at 132°C
(270°F) for 3 min. to give a fabric containing 10% by
weight of adhesive active ingredient. The following test
20 results were obtained using the Test Methods described
above. Results for Test Methods 1 and 2 are listed in
cm.

Table 2

Tests on the Underlays Prepared in Example 1-12

5	Example	Repellent*	Active Ingredient based on initial fabric (%) by weight)	Imperviousness (spot diameter, cm)		Repellency Rating	
				Oil (by Test Method 1)	Water (by Test Method 2)	Oil (by Test Method 3)	Water (by Test Method 4)
10			Fluorochemical Water-Repellent Finishes				
	1	A	3.0%	0	0	6	6
	2	B	1.4%	0	0	6	4
	3	C	1.0%	0	0	6	4
15	4	D	0.30%	0	0	6	6
	5	B	3.0%	0	0	6	5
	6	FC-1355	1.5%	0	0	5	5
	7	FC-1367	1.5%	0	0	6	5
20			Mixed Fluorochemical and Hydrocarbon Water-Repellent Finishes				
	8	E	2.4%	0	0	6	6
	9	F	0.96%	0	0	6	6
			Hydrocarbon Water-Repellent Finish				
25	10	G	1.2%	15	0	0	5
	11	H	1.2%	15	0	0	4
	12	Octowax	2.5%	18	0	0	5
		321					

30 The tests showed the underlay treated with Repellents G and H as well as Octowax 321 were substantially impervious to water, all other treated underlays were substantially impervious to oil and water.

*The repellent finishes used were as follows:

35 A - polyfluoro organic compound prepared by reacting a polyisocyanate with a fluoroalcohol and water as disclosed in EP A 453641.

- B - blend of fluoroalkyl citrate - urethane and polymethylmethacrylate disclosed in U.S. Patent 3,923,715.
- 5 C - fluorocarbonylimino fuiret prepared by reacting polyisocyanate with fluoroalcohol and monochlorohydrin disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,958,039.
- 10 D - a blend of a fluoroalkyl citrate urethane, a fluoroalkyl methacrylate/2-ethylhexyl methacrylate/2-hydroxyethyl meth-acrylate/N-methylolacrylamide copolymer, a fluoroalkyl methacrylate/lauryl meth-acrylate/N-methylolacrylamide copolymer, a dimethylaminoethyl methacrylate/acrylic acid copolymer, and a chloroprene/dichlorobutadiene copolymer disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,595,518.
- 15 F3-1355 - anionic emulsion of fluoroaliphatic polymers available as FC-1355 from 3M, Minneapolis, MN.
FC-1367 - anionic emulsion of fluoroaliphatic polymers available as FC-1367 from 3M, Minneapolis, MN.
- 20 E - a fluoroalkyl acrylate/hexadecyl methacrylate/octadecyl methacrylate/vinylidene chloride copolymer disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,742,140.
- F - a blend of wax, a diethylaminoethyl methacrylate/hexadecyl methacrylate/octadecyl methacrylate copolymer and a fluoroalkyl methacrylate copolymer of
25 the type disclosed in U.S. Patent 4,595,518.
- G - aqueous dispersion of: a hydrocarbon wax and a behenic acid ester of melamine.
- H - a hydrocarbon wax stearyl methacrylate/diethylaminoethyl methacrylate copolymer.

Examples 13-19

Preparation of an Underlay Sequentially Treated With an Adhesive and Then a Repellent finish, and Tests for Oil and Water Permeability.

- 5 A needle-punched, nonwoven polyester fabric (approximately 0.14 kg/m^2 , 4 oz./square yard) was sprayed with Air Products Pressure Sensitive Adhesive #625 (Air Products, Allentown, PA) on both the top and bottom face to a total wet pick-up of 20% by weight of solution. The
- 10 treated fabric was dried at 132°C (270°F) for 3 min. to give a fabric containing 10% by weight of adhesive active ingredient. The fabric was then saturated with a water-repellent solution and the liquid was reduced by squeezing to 200% by weight of the fabric. The treated
- 15 fabric was dried at 82°C (180°F) for 10 min. and cured at 149°C (300°F) for 3 min. resulting in a fabric with the content of repellent active ingredient indicated in Table 3. The following test results were obtained using the Test Methods described above. The results for Test
- 20 Methods 1 and 2 are in cm. Repellent finishes A to G were as defined in Examples 1-12.

Table 3Tests on the Underlays Prepared in Example 13-19

5	Example	Repellent	Active Ingredient	Imperviousness		Repellency Rating	
			based on initial fabric (%)	(spot diameter, cm)			
			by weight)	(by Test Method 1)	Water (by Test Method 2)	Oil (by Test Method 3)	Water (by Test Method 4)
10	Fluorochemical Water-Repellent Finishes						
	13	A	3.0%	0	0	6	5
	14	C	1.0%	0	0	6	5
	15	D	0.30%	0	0	6	6
15	16	B	3.0%	0	0	6	6
	Mixed Fluorochemical and Hydrocarbon Water-Repellent Finishes						
	17	E	1.2%	0	0	6	6
	18	F	0.96%	0	0	6	6
20	Hydrocarbon Water-Repellent Finish						
	19	G	1.2%	15	0	0	4

The tests showed that the underlay treated with Repellent G was substantially impervious to water, all other treated underlays were substantially impervious to oil and water.

Examples 20-22

Preparation of Underlays Sequentially Treated With a Repellent finish and Then an Adhesive, Followed by a Combined Drying and Curing Treatment, and Tests for Oil and Water Permeability.

A needle-punched, nonwoven polyester fabric (approximately 0.14 kg/m², 4 oz./square yard) was saturated with the indicated repellent solution and the liquid was reduced by squeezing to 200% by weight of the

29

fabric. The fabric was then sprayed with Air Products
 Pressure Sensitive Adhesive #625 (Air Products,
 Allentown, PA) on both the top and bottom face to a total
 wet pick-up of 200% by weight of solution. The treated
 5 fabric was dried at 132°C (270°F) for 3 min. to give a
 fabric containing about 25% by weight of adhesive active
 ingredient and with the content of repellent active
 ingredient indicated in Table 4. The following test
 results were obtained using the Test Methods described
 10 above. The results for Test Methods 1 and 2 are in cm.
 Repellent finishes D, F and G were as defined in Examples
 1-12.

Table 4Tests on the Underlays Prepared in Example 20-22

15			Active Ingredient based on initial fabric (%) by weight)	Imperviousness (spot diameter, cm)		Repellency Rating		
20	Example	Repellent		Oil (by Test Method 1)	Water (by Test Method 2)	Oil (by Test Method 3)	Water (by Test Method 4)	
			Fluorochemical Repellent Finish					
	20	D	0.30%	0	0	6	6	
25			Mixed Fluorochemical and Hydrocarbon Repellent Finishes					
	21	F	0.95%	15	0	2	6	
			Hydrocarbon Water-Repellent Finish					
30	22	G	2.5%	15	0	0	5	

The tests showed the underlays treated with Repellents F and G were substantially
 impervious to water. The underlay treated with Repellent D was substantially
 impervious to oil and water.

Examples 23-62

Examples 23-62 demonstrated the application of water impervious underlay fabrics to carpet and were compared with a control sample of the carpet without the impermeable underlay. The water impervious underlays used are listed on Table 5. Examples 24-26 were "TYPAR" available from Norville Industrials, Dalton, GA. Example 27 was "TYVEK" available from E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, DE. Example 28 was a commercially available melt blown polypropylene nonwoven fabric. Examples 29-38 were "SONTARA" available from E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, DE. Examples 39-46 were nonwoven fabrics RB 400 (130 g/m^2) and RB 406 (150 g/m^2) obtained from Synthetic Industries, Ringold, GA. Examples 47-62 were various commercially available woven and knit fabrics purchased in Walmart in Chattanooga, TN. Some fabrics did not require oil or water repellent treatments and others were treated with a repellent as listed in Table 5. Repellents D and E as defined in Examples 1-12 were employed. Both are available from E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, Wilmington, DE.

For treating the underlay, the chemicals were spray applied, and the underlay fabric was dried at 82°C (180°F) and cured at 148°C (300°F) for 3 min. The water impervious underlays were adhered to the underside of the wall-to-wall carpeting using 3M Super 77 Spray Adhesive available from 3M Company, St. Paul, MN and applying a coating to both the fabric and the underside of the carpeting by spraying each surface for 15-20 seconds before laminating the two surfaces according to the

31

directions on the adhesive package. For all Examples 23-62 the underlays were affixed to carpet from Coronet Mills, Dalton GA, style name "Torrey Pines Plus", 1.02 kg/m² (30 oz/yd²) nylon carpet treated with DuPont's "STAINMASTER" stain and soil resists. For Examples 38, 42, and 46 the underlay was sprayed with the adhesive, affixed to the underside of the carpet, and then treated with repellent, followed by drying and curing as noted above. The water imperviousness, oil repellency, and water repellency tests, Test Methods 2 through 4 as previously described, were conducted on the carpet samples. The resulting data are summarized in Table 5. Results for test Method 2 are in cm.

15

TABLE 5

	Ex. #	Attached Underlay Fabric	Repellent Treatment	<u>Test Method</u>		
				<u>#2</u>	<u>#3</u>	<u>#4</u>
20	23	none (carpet control)	none	25+	0	0
25	24	Polypropylene, Spunbonded nonwoven, 150 g/m ²	none	0	0	4
	25	Polypropylene Spunbonded nonwoven 120g/m ²	none	0	0	4
30	26	Polypropylene Spunbonded nonwoven 110 g/m ²	none	0	0	4
35	27	Polyethylene Flash spun nonwoven 40 g/m ²	none	0	0	4

		32			
	28	Polypropylene			
		Melt blown	none	0	0
		nonwoven 70 g/m ²			4
5	29	Polyester/cellulosic			
		spunlaced			
		nonwoven 78 g/m ²	none	25+	0
					0
	30	Polyester/cellulosic	E		
		spunlaced	65 g/m ²		
10		nonwoven 78 g/m ²		0	0
					6
	31	Polyester/cellulosic	E		
		spunlaced nonwoven	130 g/m ²		
		78 g/m ²		0	6
15					6
	32	Polyester, spunlaced			
		nonwoven 110 g/m ²	none	25+	0
					1
	33	Polyester Spunlaced	E		
20		non woven, 110 g/m ²	65 g/m ²	0	4
					6
	34	Polyester Spunlaced			
		nonwoven 130 g/m ²	none	25+	0
					0
25	35	Polyester Spunlaced	E		
		nonwoven 130 g/m ²	65 g/m ²	0	6
					6
	36	Polyester Spunlaced			
		nonwoven 130 g/m ²	none	25+	0
					1
30					
	37	Polyester Spunlaced	E		
		nonwoven 130 g/m ²	65 g/m ²	0	4
					6
	38	Polyester Spunlaced	D		
35		nonwoven 130 g/m ²	100 g/m ²	0	6
					6

	39	Polypropylene needle punched nonwoven, 150g/m ²	33 none	25+	0	1
5	40	Polypropylene needle punched nonwoven 150 g/m ²	E 65 g/m ²	0	6	6
10	41	Polypropylene needle punched nonwoven, 150 g/m ²	E 130 g/m ²	0	6	6
15	42	Polyester needle punched nonwoven 130 g/m ²	D 100g/m ²	0	6	6
20	43	Polyester needle punched nonwoven, 130 g/m ²	none	25+	0	0
	44	Polyester needle punched nonwoven 130 g/m ²	E 65 g/m ²	0	6	6
25	45	Polyester needle punched nonwoven 130 g/m ²	E 130 g/m ²	0	6	6
30	46	Polyester needle punched nonwoven 130 g/m ²	D 100 g/m ²	0	6	6
35	47	Woven 65% polyester/35% cotton 100 g/m ²	none	25+	0	0
40	48	Woven 65% polyester/35% cotton 100 g/m ²	D 100 g/m ²	0	5	6

			34			
	49	Knitted, acrylic 180 g/m ²	none	25+	0	0
	50	Knitted, acrylic 180 g/m ²	D 100 g/m ²	0	6	6
5						
	51	Knitted, acetate 110 g/m ²	None	25+	0	0
10	52	Knitted, acetate 110 g/m ²	D 100 g/m ²	0	6	6
	53	Knitted, polyester 100 g/m ²	none	25+	0	0
15						
	54	Knitted, polyester 100 g/m ²	D 100 g/m ²	0	6	6
20	55	Knitted, 85% nylon/15% Lycra-Spandex 160 g/m ²	none	25+	0	3
	56	Knitted, 85% nylon/15% Lycra-Spandex 160 g/m ²	D 100 g/m ²	0	6	6
25						
	57	Woven, cotton 140 g/m ²	none	25+	0	0
30						
	58	Woven, cotton 140 g/m ²	D 100 g/m ²	0	6	6
35	59	Woven, wool 260 g/m ²	none	25+	0	3
	60	Woven, wool 260 g/m ²	D 100 g/m ²	0	6	6

WO 98/12375

PCT/US96/14935

		35			
	61 Woven, nylon	none	25+	0	0
	100 g/m ²				
5	62 Woven, nylon	D	0	5	6
	100 g/m ²	100 g/m2			

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A tufted pile carpet comprising:
 - a) a primary backing having a surface tufted with pile yarns and an underside to which a water permeable latex has been applied;
 - b) an optional water permeable secondary backing having a surface and an underside, wherein the surface of the secondary backing is adhered to the underside of the primary backing; and
 - c) an underlay having a surface and an underside, wherein the surface of said underlay is affixed with an adhesive to the underside of either the secondary backing or the primary backing, and said underlay comprises a nonlatex fabric, said fabric being substantially impervious to liquids, wherein said carpet is substantially impervious to liquids.
2. The carpet of Claim 1 wherein the underlay is polyester, polyolefin, polytrimethylene terephthalate, nylon, wool, cotton, acrylic, jute, mixtures thereof, or mixtures with a cellulosic.
3. The carpet of Claim 1 wherein the adhesive used to affix the underlay provides a lamination strength of at least 8.9 kg/m.
4. The carpet of Claim 1 wherein the adhesive adheres the undelay to the primary or secondary backing immediately upon contact.
5. The carpet of Claim 1 wherein the adhesive remains adhered to the underlay and the primary or secondary backing when wet by liquid.

6. The carpet of Claim 1 wherein the pile yarns are polyamide, polyester, polyolefin, polytrimethylene terephthalate, wool, cotton, acrylic, or mixtures thereof.

5

7. The carpet of Claim 1 wherein the underlay has in or on it a repellent finish comprising a fluorochemical, silicone, wax emulsion, naturally occurring oil, alkylacrylate resin, hydrophobic
10 alkylmethacrylate resin, or a mixture thereof.

8. The carpet of Claim 7 wherein said fluorochemicals are selected from the group consisting of polyvinylidene fluoride; polytetrafluoroethylene;
15 perfluoroalkylethyl acrylates; perfluoroalkylethyl methacrylates; mixtures of the same; and blends of the foregoing compounds and polymers with polyalkyl acrylates, polyalkylmethacrylates, wax emulsions, and copolymers of vinylidene chloride, vinylidene fluoride,
20 tetrafluoroethylene, perfluoroalkylethyl acrylates, and perfluoroalkylethyl methacrylates.

9. The carpet of Claim 7 wherein said fluorochemical is selected from the group consisting of
25 fluoroalkyl citrate urethane, a fluoroalkyl methacrylate/2-ethylhexyl methacrylate/2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate/N-methylolacrylamide copolymer, a fluoroalkyl methacrylate/lauryl methacrylate/N-methylolacrylamide copolymer, a dimethylaminoethyl
30 methacrylate/acrylic acid copolymer, a chloroprene/dichlorobutadiene copolymer, and a blend thereof.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int: mal Application No

PCT/US 96/14935

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 D06N7/00 A47G27/04 D06M15/277

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 D06N A47G D06M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 4 360 554 A (CAMPBELL FREDERICK S ET AL) 23 November 1982 see the whole document ---	1-9
Y	US 4 412 877 A (VOSBURGH WILLIAM G) 1 November 1983 see examples ---	1-9
Y	FR 2 576 506 A (EBNOETHER AG) 1 August 1986 see page 2, line 34 - page 3, line 3; claims; example see page 3, last paragraph ---	1-9
Y	US 4 206 007 A (FORCE CARLTON G) 3 June 1980 see examples --- -/--	1-9

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- * "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- * "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- * "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- * "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- * "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

* "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

* "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

* "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

* "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

29 July 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

07.08.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Pamies Olle, S

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. Application No
PCT/US 96/14935

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 4 595 518 A (RAYNOLDS STUART ET AL) 17 June 1986 cited in the application see abstract see column 2, line 36 - column 3, line 48 ---	8,9
Y	US 3 923 715 A (DETTRE ROBERT HAROLD ET AL) 2 December 1975 cited in the application see examples 3-13 ---	8,9
A	GB 518 590 A (SMITH, STONE & KNIGHT, LTD.) 1 March 1940 see the whole document ---	1
A	EP 0 333 396 A (GATES RUBBER CO) 20 September 1989 see claims ---	1
A	US 2 225 906 A (O.L. DALLIA) 24 December 1940 see the whole document ---	1,2,7
E	WO 96 33307 A (DU PONT) 24 October 1996 see claims -----	1,2,7-9

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 96/14935

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4360554 A	23-11-82	DE 3224084 A FR 2508503 A GB 2100620 A NL 8202569 A	13-01-83 31-12-82 06-01-83 17-01-83
US 4412877 A	01-11-83	AU 8233982 A CA 1178519 A JP 57183475 A	14-10-82 27-11-84 11-11-82
FR 2576506 A	01-08-86	CH 673921 A DE 3600807 A	30-04-90 31-07-86
US 4206007 A	03-06-80	NONE	
US 4595518 A	17-06-86	EP 0208421 A IE 58716 B JP 7065272 B JP 62015384 A	14-01-87 03-11-93 12-07-95 23-01-87
US 3923715 A	02-12-75	BE 817445 A CA 1042128 A DE 2436192 A FR 2257645 A JP 54093197 A JP 60028948 B JP 1119052 C JP 50042196 A JP 54005039 B JP 1752459 C JP 4028829 B JP 62169860 A	04-11-74 07-11-78 03-07-75 08-08-75 24-07-79 08-07-85 28-10-82 17-04-75 13-03-79 08-04-93 15-05-92 27-07-87
GB 518590 A		NONE	
EP 0333396 A	20-09-89	NONE	
US 2225906 A	24-12-40	NONE	
WO 9633307 A	24-10-96	US 5601910 A AU 5548096 A	11-02-97 07-11-96